

Subject Name Class of ----Rotation: Location **Evaluation Dates**

Evaluated by: **Evaluator Name**

Class of ----

Preceptor Assessment of Students

Instructions:

If any of the following are true please close this form and Suspend. If none of the following apply please continue with your evaluation of the student.

- I did not work with the student
- I did not have a sufficient amount of time to properly evaluate the student
- I have a conflict of interest evaluating the student
- I professionally treated the student for medical or health issues

TIMELINE TO SUBMIT EVALUATION

- Evaluations must be completed after working with a medical student. The evaluation form will be sent to you via email through E*Value
- Complete the evaluation as soon as possible after working with the student
- All evaluations are due two weeks after the end of clerkship
- If you do not complete your evaluation in a timely manner, it will automatically expire and you will not be able to complete it

RANKING

this form.

Yes No

https://mesg.med.wayne.edu/objectives

- WSUSOM expects its medical students to perform at the level of "3" or higher in every competency listed on the evaluation form
- Anchor descriptions for "3" describe the school's expectation for a third year medical student in a clinical clerkship
- Anchor descriptions for "1" describe a student who is not consistently performing at the level of a third year medical student
- Anchor descriptions for "5" describe a student who is consistently performing above the level of a third year medical student
- If a student consistently falls below a "3", which is the level expected for that competency, s/he should be rated as "1"
- If a student consistently excels beyond the level expected, s/he should be rated as "5"
- If a student's proficiency falls between the anchor descriptions, then please use "2" or "4"

1* Amount of time spent with student constituting this evaluation
One day or shift
2-7 Days
8-14 Days
15-30 days or more
2* Preceptor's role
Faculty
Senior Resident (PG2+)
Junior Resident
Clerkship Objectives
3* I have reviewed and are familiar with the clerkship objectives and evaluation policies contained in the
Resident/Faculty guide. If not, please visit the below link to review the objectives and return to complete

Student Mistreatment Policy

If not please vis		eview the policy/St	udent Handbook and	reatment Policy. d return to complete nalism/#mistreatmen	
Yes					
No					
5* History taking -	"3" = student obtair	ns most pertinent in	formation, but histo	ries include extrane	ous
information or s	ometimes miss per	tinent information			
1 - Performance not consistent with Junior student Generally incomplete history. Frequently disorganized. Does not focus on the patient's problem. Important/key information is often missing and/or not reliable. Struggles to establish rapport.	2 - Often performs below expected level- -incomplete and disorganized in uncomplicated patients.	3 - Performance at expected level Able to elicit key elements of patients' history. Generally complete with appropriate organization. Often includes most important information. Often establishes a therapeutic relationship.	4 - Often performs above expected level. Frequently complete and organized in uncomplicated and complex patients.	5 - Performance far above expected level Outstanding history taking. Excellent organization and consistently includes important information. Skillful at establishing rapport even in challenging and complex situations.	N/A
	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	atifies abnormal find d to perform a GU e 2 - Often performs below expected level Incomplete or inaccurate.			5 - Performance far above expected level Consistently performs comprehensive or focused physical exam/mental status exam activities accurately and appropriately. Able to recognize and identify most abnormalities.	N/A
able to develop		ential including 3 like	ely diagnoses for ne	Reasoning- "3" = Stu early all patients. This	
Performance not consistent with Junior student Often unable to construct a problem list. Poor ability to develop differential diagnosis	2 - Often performs below expected level but not consistently. Difficulty generating a basic differential dx	3 - Performance at expected level Able to construct a problem list. Able to interpret clinical and diagnostic studies to develop a differential diagnosis. Often able to identify most likely diagnosis. Uses Clinical Reasoning techniques.	4 - Often performs above expected level but not consistently. Frequently demonstrates sound Clinical Reasoning	5 - Performance far above expected level Consistently develops a comprehensive differential diagnosis and is astute at prioritizing diagnoses in an accurate, logical order. Able to explain details of Clinical Reasoning in reaching diagnosis.	N/A
\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc

8* Ability to Interpret Diagnostic Labs and Tests, Formulate Therapeutic Plan- - "3" = Student is beginning to develop basic plans. This should include bolus and maintenance fluid calculations, determination of appropriate antibiotic use, basic treatment of common conditions, and age appropriate patient education at well child visits.

1 - Performance not consistent with Junior student Has difficulty developing a logical plan of care. Does not avail him/herself to information sources to assist in developing plans for treatment. Lack of knowledge of common tests and diagnostic procedures.	2 - Often performs below expected level but not consistently. Does not demonstrate reasonable use of tests.	3 - Performance at expected level Able to develop an appropriate initial plan of care informed by the differential diagnosis, and using available information sources. Understands common tests.	4 - Often performs above expected level but not consistently. Able to suggest appropriate tests and procedures.	5 - Performance far above expected level Consistently develops comprehensive care plans. Seeks out multiple information sources to inform treatment plans. Demonstrates well reasoned knowledge of diagnositic tests and procedures.	N/A
\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc

9* Oral Presentations- "3" = Student follows a consistent approach to presenting following a "SOAP" format. Presentation is organized and includes most pertinent information but is not excessively lengthy. Student adapts to feedback on presentation.

1 - Performance not consistent with Junior student Lack of preparation. Presentation poorly organized. Key information is missing. Consistent inattention to detail. Unable to organize and/or express thoughts clearly.	2 - Often performs below expected level but not consistently. Difficulty reporting basic information.	3 - Performance at expected level Evidence of preparation. Clear summaries of findings, diagnostic and therapeutic plans. Able to present key information in an organized fashion and appropriate time frame (no more than 10 minutes).	4 - Often performs above expected level. Organized, concise, accurate and appropriately focused. Presents pertinent positives and negatives.	5 - Performance far above expected level Well prepared and well organized. Consistently provides pertinent information in a concise, logical and fluent manner with minimal use of notes.	N/A

10* Written Documentation- "3" = Student is able to compose a note following "SOAP" format, including a diagnosis or top 3 differential for a complaint and a basic plan. This may be done in the electronic chart or Microsoft Word.

1 - Performance not consistent with Junior student Consistently incomplete and poorly organized. Major omissions. Information is unreliable. Does not reflect discussion with team members or use of information resources.	2 - Often performs below expected level but not consistently. Cuts and pastes notes.	3 - Performance at expected level Able to write in an organized and clear manner. Note conveys key information about patient's clinical status. Reflects use of available information and discussion with team members. Explains and justifies most likely dx. Does not cut and paste notes.	4 - Often performs above expected level but not consistently. Does not cut and paste notes.	5 - Performance far above expected level Written documentation is consistently organized and includes thorough summary of the history, physical/mental status exam, assessment and plan. It reflects use of multiple resources including the team. Consistently writes original notes explaining clinical reasoning, justification for dx. Does not cut and paste note.	N/A
\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc

11* Medical Knowledge- "3" = Student is able to demonstrate knowledge of common pediatric conditions.

1 - Performance not consistent with Junior student Often unable to demonstrate adequate knowledge of basic and clinical sciences as related to their patients' problems. Rarely able to answer general questions requiring basic science knowledge.	2 - Often performs below expected level but not consistently	expect Demor knowle assign problet knowle and cli as den	formance at led level instrates ledge related to led patients' lms. Working ledge of basic inical sciences inonstrated by to answer most ons.	4 - Often p above exp but not co	ected level	5 - Performance above expecte Consistently integrates basic clinical science related to the problems. Consistently at answer general questions required basic science a clinical knowled.	d level c and es as patients' ble to l iring and	N/A
\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	(\circ	\bigcirc		\bigcirc
12* Identifies Socia medical care. Below Expectations. Consistently overlooks one or more factors	Frequently overlooi or more of these fa	ks one	Meets expectat Incorporates ba aspects of thes	ions. asic	Incorporate aspects of	es basic these factors s them to the	Incorpo	rates DETAILED of these factors
0	0		С)		0		0
1 - Performance not consistent with Junior student Rarely shows initiative in seeking out information, feedback, etc. Infrequently responds to instruction.	2 - Often performs below expected level but not consistently	3 - Per expect Demor initiativ out info using a resour and res instruct feedba	formance at ed level instrates re in seeking ormation and available ces. Solicits isponds to tion and ick. Brings new g to the team.	4 - Often p	performs ected level	5 - Performand above expecte Is inquisitive ar consistently demonstrates in seeking out information and resources. See additional infor Consistently incorporates fe and demonstratimprovement. Educates them and the team volve evidenced.	be far d level and nitiative d leks out mation. Heedback stees with	N/A
						information.	Daseu	

14* Recognize a Patient Requiring Urgent or Emergent Care and Initiate Evaluation and Management

1 - Performance not consistent with Junior student Rarely shows initiative in seeking out information, feedback, etc. Infrequently responds to instruction.	2 - Often performs below expected level but not consistently	3 - Performance at expected level Demonstrates initiative in seeking out information and using available resources. Solicits and responds to instruction and feedback. Brings new learning to the team.	4 - Often p above exp but not co	ected level	5 - Performand above expected Is inquisitive at consistently demonstrates in seeking out information and resources. See additional information consistently incorporates for and demonstration improvement. Educates them and the team where evidenced information.	d level and initiative deks out rmation. eedback ates	N/A
\circ	\circ	0		0	0		
1 - Performance not consistent with Junior student Rarely shows initiative in seeking out information, feedback, etc. Infrequently responds to instruction.	2 - Often performs below expected level but not consistently	3 - Performance at expected level Demonstrates initiative in seeking out information and using available resources. Solicits and responds to instruction and feedback. Brings new learning to the team.	4 - Often p	performs sected level	5 - Performand above expected is inquisitive and consistently demonstrates in seeking out information and resources. See additional informational informational informational informational informational informational demonstration improvement. Educates them and the team where evidenced information.	d level and initiative deks out rmation. eedback ates	N/A
0				0			
16* Communicates	s effectively with pa	tients and families a	across a b	road rang	e of cultural b	oackgro	unds.
Unacceptable: Does no establish rapport, use appropriate language, avoid jargon or convey empathy	Below Expectations difficulty establishin rapport, using appr language, avoiding or conveying empa	shing Frequently establishes Consistently establishes rapport, uses appropriate rapport, uses appropriate language, avoids jargon or language, avoids jargon		ly establishes es appropriate avoids jargon vs empathy.	Consisted rapport, language conveys with characteristics	eeds expectations ently establishes uses appropriate e, avoids jargon of empathy even illenging patients and situations. ient at ease.	
\bigcirc)	Puts patient		\circ	

17* Communication with all members of the healthcare team

1-Does not communicate effectively. Often causes friction. Poor team skills. Often disrespectful. Often works in isolation. Cannot be relied upon by other team members.	2 - Below expectations Has difficulty communicating with the entire healthcare team. Communication unclear, incomplete or disorganized	3 - Meets expectations. Respectful and open to all team members (pharmacists, nurses, MA's, etc). Ability to work cooperatively with all team members. Mature and dependable. Sensitive to others. Shares pertinent information.	4 - Exceeds expectations. Frequently takes the initiative to exchange information with all members of the team, including nursing and ancillary staff.	5 - Performance far above expected level Excellent interpersonal skills. Respectful, mature, and cooperative with all team members including non-physicians. Highly sensitive to others' needs. Appropriately assertive. Highly dependable, identifies opportunities to assist teammates. Consistently takes the initiative to exchange information with all members of the team, including nursing and ancillary staff.	N/A
\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	
18* Professionalis	m, Ethics and Interp	ersonal Relationshi	ps with Patients		
1 - Performance not consistent with Junior student Demonstrates lack of regard for social or cultural issues. Disrespects patient's dignity. Disregard's patient's privacy.	2 - Often performs below expected level but not consistently	3 - Performance at expected level Demonstrates compassion. Shows good understanding of patient's perspective. Respectful of patient's dignity. Protective of patient's privacy.	4 - Often performs above expected level but not consistently	5 - Performance far above expected level Consistently compassionate and sensitive to social, cultural, and religious issues. Advocates for patient's dignity. Vigilant in protecting patient's privacy. Consistently develops a trusting relationship with patient. Patients feel comfortable revealing sensitive information.	N/A
0				0	
19* Professional B	ehavior, Demeanor,	and Work Ethic with	n Healthcare Team		
1 - Performance not consistent with Junior student Does not meet obligations. Frequently late and irresponsible. Lacks interest and enthusiasm in clinical work and learning. Unavailable when needed. Poor effort and lack of self-direction. Records fraudulent information.	2 - Often performs below expected level. Needs reminders. Peripheral to team activities. Late or tardy.	3 - Performance at expected level Meets obligations. Punctual, well-motivated, responsible. Assumes responsibility. Generally follows through on assigned tasks. Shows enthusiasm and self-direction.	4 - Often performs above expected level. Actively involved and makes meaningful contributions to the patient care team.	5 - Performance far above expected level Exceeds obligations. Always punctual. Assumes added responsibility. Completely reliable. Superior team player. Excellent enthusiasm and self-direction. Highly valued integral team member.	N/A

20* RIME Evaluation: Please assess this student's overall performance on the following scale. This is not a portion of the grade determination but will indicate to the student the level of performance overall that they have demonstrated.
This student performed below the level of Reporter and requires remediation.
Reporter: Solid performance; obtains & reports basic information accurately; beginning to interpret; solid personal and professional qualities
Interpreter: Clearly more than typical work in most areas of evaluation. Proceeds consistently to interpreting data; good working fund of knowledge; an active participate in care. Consistent preparation for clinics.
Manager: Actively suggests management options; excellent general fund of knowledge, outstanding knowledge of patients.
Educator: Outstanding ratings in most areas of evaluations. Strong qualities of leadership and excellence in professionalism, interpersonal relationships, and professional behavior, demeanor and ethic. Could effectively function as a junior resident.
21* General Comments (to be included in the Dean's letters)
22 Additional comments NOT to be included in the Dean's Letters (include areas needing improvement)
23 Identify any less than professional behavior that occurred during the clerkship or opportunities for professional growth so that we can coach up our students for improvement. You may use this link to

- refer students for coaching. https://cm.maxient.com/reportingform.php?WayneStateUniv&layout_id=25
- 24 Please identify this student as exemplary in advocating for patients and patient care in a manner which deserves recognition. Please complete a PEARLS nomination for this if appropriate using this link. https://cm.maxient.com/reportingform.php?WayneStateUniv&layout_id=56